Cambridge International AS & A Level

HISTORY 9489/32

Paper 3 Interpretations Quet ion

May/June 2024

1 hour 15 minutes

You muts answ er on the end one dansw er book et.

You will need: Answ er book et (enbos d)

INSTRUCTIONS

Answ er one quest ion from one st ion only.

Set ion A: The origins of the Firt World War

Set ion B: The Holoa ut

Set ion C: The origins and dev lopment of the Cold War

• Follow the integration on the front our rof the answer book et. If you need additional answer paper, also the inivigilator for a on tinuation book et.

INFORMATION

- The total mark for this paper is 40.
- The number of marks for eab question or part question is blown in brake ts [].



Answ er one quets ion from one e t ion only.

Section A: Topic 1

The origins of the First World War

1 Read the ext rate and then answer the question.

Poina ré's diplomay was far more pais ve than has often been baimed. Although he was undoubtedly s p ic ous of Germany, he did not at aggres s ly towards it. He merely s ught to o no lidate Frane 's pos tion in Europe and to prepare for any pos bility. In no way was Poina ré's foreign policy intended to prove war; indeed, it was more retained than those of Germany, Aus ria-Hungary or Rus a. For Poina ré, peae was bes a feguarded by the balane of power ab iee d by rigid s paration of the two alliane s ems Frane, more than any other European nation, unders ood the nee is ty for s rong alliane s. Exer is ne 1871 it had attempted to break out of its diplomatic is lation. The proe s had been a long and painful one, whib had only really been o mpleted in 1907 with the formation of the Triple Entente, the benefits of whib, principally international e a rity, were only just being felt. The balane of power after 1907 was arguably of er n greater importane to Frane than to Britain. Hower, Britain had a more flex ble approab to it. Britain was oppose d to any weaks ning of the alliane some but was willing to negotiate agreements with the Triple Alliane bloc Dep ite o nis derable opposition from Frenb diplomats Poina ré ruled out any s ch move, though his attitude s ftened in 1913 and 1914, notably towards Germany. Or rall, hower, his insisene on applying the notion of the siric exparation of the two alliane s ineiv tably built into it the inflex bility whib was its greates weak es in times of c is s In this manner, Poina ré's foreign poliç e rious y o ntributed to further e parating the two blos Thus whereas in 1907 Triple Alliane and Triple Entente had \$ ood \$ de by \$ de, in 1914 they to ood fae to fae.

But a balane of power is by definition fragile. It is therefore, natural that politic ans b ould prepare for the balance being ups t. They do s by attempting to gain a margin of s periority or r their rise Is while they pere is as a guarantee of a fety but while their opponents s e as a threat. By 1914 it appeared to mos Frenb politic ans and soldiers that Frane had finally gained this soldiers periority. This appeared to be onfirmed by a War Ministry report of September 1912 while predicted in the Triple Entente in any onflict against the Triple Alliane. It was therefore, in Frane is national interest to maintain that margin of soperiority not for any warlise reasons but as a guarantee of so fety.

Frane 's dec is on to si pport Ruis a in the July Cris s was not take in bealure of their alliane, but bealure its margin of a fety was at rike. To have its opped Ruis a from entering the war would have allowed Austria-Hungary to regain on the preside, while of riously reducing that of Ruis a. This would have altered the balane between Triple Entente and Triple Alliane to the dial down intage of the former. The alternative of allowing Ruis a to fight alone would have on it in the final decision had not been made for Frane by Germany debaring war on it, Frane would it ill have felt of ight alongs de Ruis a. Like Britain, Frane entered the war for negative reasons Britain's decision to go to war was not primarily to uphold the 1839 guarantee of Belgian neutrality, but bealure it feared the oine quenes of German domination of the ointinent. Frane did not decide to go to war bealure of the Franor-Ruis an alliane, but did of bealure it was unwilling to live again in the beadow of a mighty Germany.

What can you learn from this extract about the interpretation and approach of the historian who wrote it? Use the extract and your knowledge of the origins of the First World War to explain your answer. [40]

© UCLES 2024 9489/32/M/J/24

3

Section B: Topic 2

The Holocaust

2 Read the ext rat and then answer the question.

The klling of the Jews began in the east and there, principally, it says d-a fact while fundamentally is aped both the events of the 'Final Solution' and the ways in while it was pere in d by o ntemporaries

Content removed due to copyright restrictions.

More important than

s b at rate gues ng is the fat that people immediately grap ed that a e ntral decs on had been made to deport the Jews this was not a loa I at ion, like s many bans on using sw imming pools or park benb es

What can you learn from this extract about the interpretation and approach of the historian who wrote it? Use the extract and your knowledge of the Holocaust to explain your answer. [40]

4

Section C: Topic 3

The origins and development of the Cold War

3 Read the extract and then answer the question.

If Roos we It had so river d, he would have had to reo nicle his so gue promise s to Stalin of a Soivet p here with his as rane s to the Ameria n people that the Allies were making an open, democ atic world. Even a master politic an like Roos velt might have struggled. How mub more diffiguit, then, was it for Harry Truman as he bear me President? Truman did not even understand that he fae d a dilemma. He did not k ow that Roos & It had all but o ne ded to the Soivets their p here in Eas ern Europe. In his profound ignorane of the s atus of Roos & It's diplomaty, Truman naturally turned to State Department advisers. He did not realise that Roos ve It had largely ignored them, rely ng into ead on his military adiver rs. The State Department grabbed its b ane to explain to Truman that Roos ve It had trusted the Ruis and too mub. Ambas dor Harriman told Truman that Soiv et o ndut in Poland and the rest of Eastern Europe post dia threat to Ameria n interes s He told Truman about the Soviet take or r in Eas ern Europe, warning that Soivet hot ility towards a pitality nations would tempt it to exp and into other neighbouring areas Harriman urged Truman to be firm and ue Rus a's dep erate need for reo no ruc ion funds as eo nomic pres re. Truman ae pted this adive with remarka ble p eed. When Truman firts met Molotov, he told him in blunt terms that Sov et-American relations would no longer only benefit the Soiv et Union. Molotov o mplained that he had new r been talk d to like that in his life. 'Carry out v ur agreements and v u won't get talke d to like that, 's apped Truman.

Truman low d to project this image — a quik decis man of plain o mmon e ne. But he e ems to have used this air of decis meness to o menes redeep une retainty. He often made appears appears on without mub information and then had to go bak on them with one derable embarrasment. When the war in Europe ended, he is gned an order ending lend-lease aid to the Soivet Union. This was in a order ended with the lend-lease law, and many Congres members had made it bear that they wanted the programme ended immediately after German ments rrender. But the abruptnes of the decision, turning the ips around in mid-oe an, was an unnessary ry insolution to wards an ally the Ameria ns hoped would join the war against Japan. Stalin or uld not help but the e Truman's let ure to Molotov and the ments denoted the ments appeared and the ments of lend-lease as an indiation of a branged Ameria negligible. The Soivet dictator or mplained bitterly and Truman feebly apologies dethat he had not at ually read the lend-lease directive he had is gned. He ordered the the ips to turn round again and return to the Soivet Union.

Truman was put ed by Stalin. His une rtainty mirrored the onfusion most Ameria ns felt about Soivet intentions. Was Stalin a on mmunis revolutionary bent on world onquest, or was he end known end traditional Rust an national interests so as end rity from attakt through Eastern Europe? Truman failed to take into a ount that Stalin might be ruthles and a utious at the sometime. He directed his ruthless es almost entirely toward the most strategially intentions in Eastern Europe, a power here he may well have believed Roost that one ded to him. Truman and his adivers naturally worried that this try anny indiated Stalin's intentions for other areas Yet Stalin did allow a degree of solf-determination in less that rategic of untriest to as Hungary and Cze bost ovak as long as of mmunits slog. I to the Soivet Union of ntrolled to your posts within those governments. Later he would be even more tolerant towards Finland and Authria, inits ing only that their foreign policies be neutral rather than host ile to the Soivets.

What can you learn from this extract about the interpretation and approach of the historian who wrote it? Use the extract and your knowledge of the Cold War to explain your answer. [40]

© UCLES 2024 9489/32/M/J/24

To aw id the is e of dis on re of answer-related information to a ndidates all opy ight also wiledgements are reprodued online in the Cambridge As an ent International Edua tion Copy ight Also wiledgements Book et. This is produed for eab a ries of ear minations and is freely as ilable to download at www.a mbridgeinternational.org after the live ear mination series